



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/376,654	09/376,654 08/18/1999		ALAN FOLMSBEE	5437-076/P41	6747	
25920	7590	04/14/2004		EXAMINER		
MARTINE				LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
710 LAKEW SUITE 170	VAY DRIV	E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2132			
			•	DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

8

٠,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	3
Advisory Action	09/376,654	FOLMSBEE, ALAN	O
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply ich places the applicat	to a ion in
<u> </u>	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See 136(a) and the appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2)	MPĒP dension fee sion fee under as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) \(\square\) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	•
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-18 and 20-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,19.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10. Other:	6-	Hat &	
		GILBERTO BARRON RVISORY PATENT EXAM CHNOLOGY CENTER 210	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 09/376,654





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amended limitation of error correction of intentionally inserted errors in a predictable manner still does not distinguish the invention over the prior art because error correction system operate in a predictable manner.